Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/115,963	SCHNEIDER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael G. Hartley	1618
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Michael G. Hartley</u> .	(3)	
(2) Art Crawford (Atty. for Appl.)	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>11 April 2006</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:  Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
me Ham		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant)	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed. The examiner called to request a substitute oath/declaration that lists an error providing a suitable basis for reissue. Also, it was agreed upon to delete the foreign priority data from the first line of the specification, since foreign priority is not usually added to the first line of the specification.